



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

67

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/925,401	08/09/2001	Ronald E. Nichols	287122-00004	4498

7590 01/06/2004

Debra Z. Anderson
Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC
44th Floor
600 Grant Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15219

EXAMINER

DANG, THUAN D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1764

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

09/925,401

Applicant(s)

NICHOLS ET AL.

Examiner

Thuan D. Dang

Art Unit

1764

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☒ A Notice of Appeal was filed on 18 November 2003. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
- (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
- (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____

3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see the attachment.
6. ☒ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: none.Claim(s) objected to: none.Claim(s) rejected: 1-10 and 12-21.Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 22-26.

8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on _____ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
10. ☐ Other: _____

Thuan D. Dang
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1764

The Attachment

A terminal disclaimer submitted on 11/18/2003 is noted by the examiner. However, it is not reviewed by a paralegal clerk. Therefore, the double patenting rejection is still maintained.

A Declaration by Doctor Levine filed on 11/18/2003 is noted. However, it is denied to be considered since an affidavit was held to be untimely when filed after final rejection. *In re Deters* 185 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1975).

The argument that Gi does not disclose using three phases instead of describing at what temperature the various reactions will occur is not persuasive since as discussed in the previous rejection, Gi discloses clearly on column 2, lines 30-51 that the process has three different phases which is operated at three different temperature, namely 100-200°C, still 500°C, and 500-600°C.

The argument that there is absolutely no indication that affirmative steps were taken to adjust the fuel input, as in the present claimed process is not persuasive since as discussed in the previous rejection although Gi does not disclose adding fuel input, It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Gi process to adjust the energy input according to the heat required by the reaction to maintain the reaction.

The argument that Gi uses much higher temperature as in column 1, lines 14 is not persuasive since Gi uses different phases each of which has different temperature (column 2, lines 30-51).

The argument that Roy discloses that a sub atmospheric pressure affects the yield of liquid and solid product (col. 1, lines 65-68) is correct since as taught by Roy, one having ordinary skill in the must recognize that pressure of the process has an affect to the amount of

Art Unit: 1764

solid or the liquid product. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Gi process as taught by Roy to select an appropriate pressure such as the applicants' claimed pressure since pressure is recognized by Roy as an affective variables.

The argument that Solbakken does not teach the use to heating in more than one phase is not persuasive since this teaching is disclosed by Gi.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan D. Dang whose telephone number is 703-305-2658. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 703-308-6824. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-5408.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Thuan D. Dang
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1764

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Thuan D. Dang', written in a cursive style with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

93925401.3rd
December 22, 2003